





P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,362	08/29/2000	Julie J. Bennett	42390P9622	8226
7	590 02/25/2004		EXAM	IINER }
Blakely Soko	loff Taylor & Zafman L	LP	WONG,	LESLIE
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, (CA , 90025		, 2177	4.1
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/200	4 17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H

· ·			71	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7)	
	09/650,362	BENNETT ET AL.	IETT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Leslie Wong	2177		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) EDOM		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir	nely filed	•	
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w 			ion.	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	w.e.			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja		1		
<i>7</i> —	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		×		
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14-23 and 25-33</u> is/are pending	g in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-12,14-23 and 25-33</u> is/are rejected	d.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		. •	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	֥	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phoney under do o.o.o. 3 1 ro(a) (d) 01 (l).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed. "		
Attachment(s)	, -	(070,440)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∭ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Office		Bot of Paper No (Mail Date	161	

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 26 January 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Jacobi** et al. (Jacobi) (U.S. Patent 6,317,222 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 12, and 23, **Jacobi** teaches a method and an apparatus comprising:

a). creating a plurality of categories, each category identifying an attribute (col. 1, lines 16-22 and 46-49);

Art Unit: 2177

b). associating products (i.e. books, CDs, or videos) having at least one attribute with at least one category (i.e., non-fictions, comedies) (col. 2, lines 46-49);

c). upon selection of a main product by a user in communication with a visual browser via a computer network, automatically displaying a plurality of related products having at least one attribute in common with the main product that are selectable for purchase by the user (col. 10, lines 45 – 63; col. 7, lines 5-9; col. 4, lines 2-6).

Regarding claims 3, 14, and 25, **Jacobi** further teaches a step comprising, displaying at least one other product that is not related by a category to the main product (col. 4, lines 2-6).

Regarding claims 4, 15, and 26, **Jacobi** further teaches a step comprising, assigning a weight bias to each category based upon a predefined importance of the respective category (col. 3, lines 23-27).

Regarding claims 5, 16, and 27, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). determining "like" categories for the main product, a "like" category being a category that the main product is associated with (col. 8, lines 60-63);
 - b). selecting one of the "like" categories (col. 10, line 63 col. 11, line 2); and
- c). randomly selecting the at least one other related product from the selected "like" category (col. 5, lines 19-22).

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claims 6, 17, and 28, **Jacobi** further teaches a step wherein selecting one of the "like" categories includes utilizing the weight biases for the categories in a randomly based selection algorithm to select one of the "like" categories (col. 8, lines 28-39).

Regarding claims 7, 18, and 29, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). determining "dislike" categories for the main product, a "dislike" category being a category that the main product is not associated with (col. 15, lines 58-62);
- b). selecting one of the "dislike" categories utilizing the weight biases for the categories in a randomly based selection algorithm (col. 5, lines 19-31); and
- c). randomly selecting at least one other product from the selected "dislike" category (col. 5, lines 19-31).

Regarding claims 8, 19, and 30, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a) selecting a category from the plurality of categories utilizing the weight biases of the categories in a randomly based selection algorithm (col. 14, lines 36-48); and
- b). randomly selecting a product from the selected category (col. 5, lines 19-22).

Regarding claims 9, 20, and 31, **Jacobi** further teaches a step comprising:

Art Unit: 2177

- a). scoring each product based upon weight biases of 'like" categories (Fig. 5, element 180) and 'dislike" categories (Fig. 5, element 190), a 'like" category being a category that the main product is associated with, a 'dislike' category being a category that the main product is not associated with, a weight bias being a predefined value assigned to each respective category to denote the respective category's importance (col. 8, lines 28-38);
- b). creating a "like" score table, the "like" score table including a "like" score for each of the products indicating the relatedness of the product to the main product (Fig. 1, element 60); and
- c). randomly selecting the at least one other related product from the "like" score table using the "like" scores as a weight bias (col. 12, lines 61-65).

Regarding claims 10, 21, and 32, Jacobi further teaches a step comprising:

- a). creating a "dislike" score table, the "dislike" score table including a "dislike" score for each product indicating the unrelatedness of the product to the main product, the "dislike" score table being the transposition of the "like score table" (col. 8, lines 28-39); and
- b). randomly selecting at least one other product from the "dislike" score table using the "dislike" scores as a weight bias (col. 5, lines 19-31).

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claims 11, 22, and 33, **Jacobi** further teaches a step of selecting at least one other product at random from one of the plurality of categories (col. 5, lines 19-22).

Response to Argument

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

· Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Jammes et al. (U.S. Patent 6,484,149 B1)

Perkowski (U.S. Patent 6,625,581 B1)

Blinn et al. (U.S. Patent 5,999,914 A)

Perkowski (U.S. Patent 5,950,173 A)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 305-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

Art Unit: 2177

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leslie Wong Patent Examiner Art Unit 2177

Lw 20 February 2004

> JACK CHOULES PRIMARY EXAMINER